Revising of the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing: Summary of Comments on the Initial Draft

Moderator: Wayne Camara, College Board

2011 Annual Convention of the APA August 6, 2011 Washington, DC

Scope of the Revision

- Calls for revision received from sponsor organizations in 2007-08
- Summarized by the Management Committee
 - Wayne Camara, Chair, APA
 - Suzanne Lane, AERA
 - David Frisbie, NCME
- Appointed the joint committee to draft the revisions

Joint Committee Members

- Barbara Plake, Co-Chair, University of Nebraska, Emeritus
- Lauress Wise, Co-Chair, HumRRO
- Linda Cook, ETS
- Fritz Drasgow, University of Illinois
- Brian Gong, NCIEA
- Laura Hamilton, Rand Corporation
- Jo-Ida Hansen, University on MN
- Joan Herman, UCLA

Joint Committee Members

- Michael Kane, ETS
- Michael Kolen, University of Iowa
- Antonio Puente, UNC-Wilmington
- Paul Sackett, University of MN
- Nancy Tippins, Valtera Corporation
- Walter (Denny) Way, Pearson
- Frank Worrell, Univ of CA- Berkeley

Five Key Areas for Revision Identified by the Management Committee

- Access/Fairness
- Accountability
- Technology
- Workplace
- Format issues

Timeline

- First Joint Committee meeting January, 2009
- Release of draft revision January 2011
- Public comment through April 2011
- Revised draft for organizational review and approval projected 2012
- Projected publication late 2013, pending approval by the sponsoring organizations

Presentation Overview

- Description of Initial Draft
- Summary of Comments
 - Fairness Frank C. Worrell
 - Validity, Reliability, and Operations Lauress L.
 Wise
 - Psychological Testing Applications Antonio E.
 Puente
- Discussant Reactions
 - Wayne Camara

Key Changes in Initial Draft

- Chapters organized into three sections:
 - Core Principal, Operations, and Applications
- Separate chapters on fairness combined into a single chapter under Core Principals
- Updated discussion of technology issues under test development, scoring, administration
- Discussion of use of tests for accountability
 - Mainly in Education and Policy Application chapters
- Format improvements
 - Standards clustered by topic parallel to background
 - Technical edit for consistency across chapters

Comments on Initial Draft

- 4,000 comments received
 - 30-50% were primarily editorial comments
 - Included official comments from sponsoring organizations:
 - About 12 APA Boards and Committees
 - One set of comments from NCME's Standards and Test Use Committee
 - No official comments from AERA
- All comments reviewed by the committee at our May 2011 meeting
- Each comment will be considered in completing a revised draft over the next 6 (or so) months

Revising of the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing: Comments on the Fairness Chapter

Frank C. Worrell, University of California - Berkeley

Key Fairness Themes

- 1. Universal design to minimize barriers to valid test interpretations for all individuals.
- 2. Validity studies for each of the intended examinee subgroups
- 3. Appropriate accommodations to remove barriers to the accessibility
- 4. Guard against inappropriate interpretations, use, and/or unintended consequences

Key Fairness Comments

- Combined chapter received kudos
- But many suggestions
 - Fairness as fundamental validity issue
 - Conflation of LEP and IWD
 - Incorporation of Universal Design
 - Tension between responding to individual vs. subgroup differences
 - "Accommodations" murkier than portrayed (especially validity evidence)
 - Role of opportunity to learn
 - Diversity of examples

Revising of the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing: Comments on Validity, Reliability and Operations

Lauress L. Wise,
Human Resources Research Organization
(HumRRO)

Key Comments on Validity

- Chapter largely unchanged from 1999 version, and comments raised no major issues
- New material on consequences was fairly well received
- Some minor suggestions to be addressed:
 - Need for more diversity in the examples provided
 - Clarifications regarding "who is responsible" for meeting some of the standards that are stated in passive voice

Key Comments on Reliability

- Most comments supported the broader focus on precision
 - reliability framework incorporated in this version
- Some concerns with background material:
 - Perhaps too long and sometimes too prescriptive (sounded to more like standards than background)
- A number of comments advocated for more or less coverage of different theoretical models
 - (e.g., generalizability theory, coefficient alpha)
 - May have reflected personal preferences as opposed to an imbalance in the content coverage

Key Comments on Operations

- Scaling and Equating
 - No major objections
 - Many comments editorial in nature
 - Call for more detail on multiple ways to link
 - Scaling and linking for adaptive tests
 - Call for more examples outside of ability and achievement testing
- Test Development
 - Support for increased discussion of design issues
 - Some confusion over design elements that seem to require operational data
 - Need to emphasize iterative nature of design

Key Comments on Operations

- Reporting
 - Other uses of data (e.g., research use; release to media, legislative restrictions)
- Detail of qualification for Test Administrators
- Security (Test Administrator Training)
 - Disclosure of test content to others
 - Different types of cheating
 - To be coordinated with Test Users Chapter)
- Disclosure of test content to others
- Different types of cheating

Revising of the *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing:*Comments on the Application Chapters

Antonio E. Puente University of North Carolina at Wilmington

Key Comments on Psychological Testing Chapters

- Most comments editorial in nature or intended to improve clarity
- Call for broader range of examples from different areas of psychological testing
- Questions concerning requirements for administering psychological tests
- Questions concerning requirements for test and test score security
- Few substantive comments on Workplace Testing Chapter

Key Comments on Educational Testing Chapter

- Concern with burden on test developers
 - Particularly on educational agencies
- Questions about specific issues
 - Specific issues with growth scores and value-added models
 - Composites and other derived variables
- Coordination with the Policy Applications Chapter
- Call for more examples from educational counseling (not just achievement tests)

Key Comments on Policy Chapter

- Add psychological testing examples
- Clarify relation to other chapters
 - Coordinate with other application chapters
 - Concerns about going beyond testing
- Public policy concerning use of tests
 - Should the standards support test-based school accountability?
 - Who are "users of information" and who is responsible for what?
- A few technical questions (e.g., use of change scores)